

1
2
3
4
5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

6
7 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

8 CHARLES AL-PINE,

9 No. C 18-3055 WHA (PR)

10 Plaintiff,

11 **ORDER OF DISMISSAL**

12 vs.

13 SCOTT HARRIS; KENDALL
14 RICHARDSON,

15 Defendant.

16 Plaintiff is an inmate in Texas. He filed this pro se civil rights action complaining that he
17 is subject to a pre-filing review order requiring him to pay the entire filing fee, and that an official
18 at the United States Supreme Court improperly failed to accept his complaint for filing. Plaintiff
19 made this same claim in a complaint filed in an earlier case, No. C 18-2478 WHA (N.D. Cal.).
20 That case was dismissed without prejudice to plaintiff pursuing his claim in a United States
21 District Court where venue is proper, i.e. in a district in which a defendant is located or the
22 events giving rise to the claim took place. *See* 28 U.S.C. 1391. Accordingly, this case is
23 **DISMISSED** as duplicative of plaintiff's earlier-filed case.

24 The clerk shall enter judgment and close the file. .

25 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

26 Dated: June 12, 2018.



27 WILLIAM ALSUP
28 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE